Subject: Re: [irgeditors] Preliminary IRG N2643 feedback From: irgeditors@ml.comp.polyu.edu.hk Date: 10/12/2023, 4:31 AM To: 경석김 <gimgs0@gmail.com> CC: irgeditors@ml.comp.polyu.edu.hk

Professor Kim,

In addition, I found 36 representative glyphs that have issues, mainly in that they do not adhere to ROK regional conventions for particular strokes or components. Below is the complete list:

U+856C 蕬: The bottom three strokes of the lower-left 糸 component should be like that of the lower-right 糸 component U+28D77 闆: The 昷 component should be the 殟 component U+2907A 零: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29088 雹: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29096 窄: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+290A7 簿: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+290C0 霆: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+290CE 雳: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+290D2 霉: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+290D6 蹇: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+290F1 霮: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29101 霮: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29106 重: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29121 囊: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29140 霸: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29162 覈: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+2916D 霸: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29170 霸: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29174 霮: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+2917C 蠹: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+2917F 簿: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29180 覆: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29181 罾: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29183

響: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+2918C 囊: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+29193 霮: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+291B7 靏: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+291B8 龗: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+291CF 靏: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+291D5 影: The 月 component should be 円 U+29365 鞽: The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+294E8 顏: The 月 component should be 円 U+296D5 14: The left component should match the G-source glyph (and evidence) U+297C3 (] The four short horizontal strokes of the 雨 component should match U+291C9 U+2A23A 鷵: The four short horizontal strokes of the 屬 component should match U+5C6C

Please note that the "four short horizontal strokes" comments that affect two components are not that critical, mainly because there is already inconsistency between K-source ideographs, even among those with the "K0" prefix (KS X 1001). The remaining six comments are a bit more serious.

Regards...

-- Ken

On Oct 11, 2023, at 05:36, <u>irgeditors@ml.comp.polyu.edu.hk</u> wrote:

Professor Kim,

I am checking IRG N2643, which is ROK's 307-ideograph horizontal extension, and have found one critical issue thus far. U+28E97 陡 already has a kIRG_KSource property value, specifically K6-1071, which is also shown in the code chart excerpt on page 3 of the 23-page PDF file.

I suspect that this will reduce the number of ideographs to 306, and will require that the kIRG_KSource property values from U+28EA4 福 be resequenced such that the final property value will be K6-1261, not K6-1262.

I will continue to check this proposal, but I figured that this particular feedback was important enough to warrant preliminary feedback.

Regards...

-- Ken