INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR STANDARDIZATION ORGANISATION INTERNATIONALE DE NORMALISATION МЕЖДУНАРОДНАЯ ОРГАНИЗАЦИЯ ПО СТАНДАРТИЗАЦИИ Universal Coded Character Set (UCS)

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC2/WG2/IRG N2485 Date: 2021/05/07

SAT Submission for the IRG Working Set 2021

Doc. Type:	Ideographic Research Group Document
Source:	SAT Daizōkyō Text Database Committee (Prof. Masahiro Shimoda)
Status:	Member Submission
Action:	For consideration by IRG

SAT proposes 383 ideographs for inclusion in the IRG Working Set 2021. The collection consists of 382 **SAT** characters and 1 **SATM** character.

SAT characters are collected from Taishō Shinshū Daizōkyō (大正新脩大蔵経, 1924; henceforth Taishō). If the shape of a glyph is unclear or dubious on Taishō, additional evidence is supplied from its witnesses, such as woodcut printings and manuscripts. The source names and references of evidence images are listed below:

- 1. 高麗蔵: 高麗版大蔵経 (Tripitaka Koreana). second edition. 1236-1251.
 - Research Institute of Tripitaka Koreana
 - National Diet Library, Japan http://kindai.ndl.go.jp/info:ndljp/pid/1240226
 - Private collection of 増上寺 (Zōjōji) Temple
 - Archives of Buddhist Culture, Dongguk University https://kabc.dongguk.edu/
- 2. 嘉興蔵: 嘉興大蔵経 (Jiaxing Tripitaka). 16th c.-17th c. https://dzkimgs.l.u-tokyo.ac.jp/kkz/
- 3. 白蓮社: 白蓮社刊一切経音義 (Byakurensha Kan Issai Kyō Ongi). 白蓮社, 1738.
- 4. **縮刷蔵**: 大日本校訂縮刷大蔵経 (Dainihon Kōtei Shukusatsu Daizōkyō). 縮刷大蔵経刊行 会, 1939 (reprint).
- 5. 頻伽蔵: 頻伽精舎校刊大蔵経 (Pinjia Jingshe Jiaokan Dazangjing). 吉林出版, 1923 [2007].
- 6. 大正蔵: 大正新脩大蔵経 (Taishō Shinshū Daizōkyō). 大正新脩大蔵経刊行会, 1924.
- 7. 笹原 (2015): 笹原宏之 Sasahara, Hiroyuki. 日本人と漢字 (Nihonjin to Kanji). Shueisha International, 2015.

SATM character derives from SAT's manuscript collection for Buddhist studies. Evidence images are taken from following sources.

- 柴谷 (2014): 柴谷宗叔 Shibatani, Soshuku. 澄禅『四国辺路日記』の道再現: 伊予、讃岐 を中心に ("Recreating the Early Edo Period Shikoku Pilgrimage through Chozen's Shikoku henro nikki: Iyo and Sanuki"). 印度學佛教學研究 (*Journal of Indian and Buddhist studies*) 63(1), 253-257, 2014. https://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/110009899965
- 2. 四国遍路日記: 澄禅 (Chōzen). 宮崎忍勝 (Miyazaki, Ninshō) (ed). 四国遍路日記 (Shikoku Henro Nikki). 大東出版社, 1977.
- 3. 新潮日本語漢字辞典:新潮日本語漢字辞典 (Shinchō Nihongo Kanji Jiten). 新潮社, 2007.

The contents of this proposal are found in the following documents:

Appendix 1: Attributes

IRGN2485_SAT_2021_sub_attributes.xlsx

Appendix 2: TrueType font

IRGN2485_SAT_2021_sub_glyphs.ttf

Appendix 3: Evidence images

To be downloaded from https://bauddha.dhii.jp/SAT/ws2021evi.zip

Appendix 4: Auxiliary components

IRGN2485_SAT_2021_sub_auxcomp_v2.pdf

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 2/IRG
PROPOSAL SUMMARY FORM TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSIONS
FOR ADDITION OF CJK UNIFIED IDEOGRAPHS TO THE REPERTOIRE OF ISO/IEC 10646
Submitters are reminded to:
1.Fill in all the sections below.
2. Read the Principles and Procedures Document (P & P) available at
https://appsrv.cse.cuhk.edu.hk/~irg/irg56/IRGN2424Confirmed.pdf
for guidelines and details before filling in this form.
3. Use the latest Form from
https://appsrv.cse.cuhk.edu.hk/~irg/irg/irg56/IRGN2424SubmissionForm.xlsx
See also http://appsrv.cse.cuhk.edu.hk/~irg/irg/ds.html for the latest Unifiable Component Variations.

Administrative

1. IRG Project Code:	IRG Collection 2021					
2. Title:	SAT Submission for the IRG Working Set 2021					
3. Submitter's Region/Country Name: SAT Daizōkyō Text Database Committee						
4. Submitter Type (National	n					
5. Submission Date:	5-07					
Requested Ideograph Typ	ographs					
		ngly encouraged to instead		N/A		
		ee UTS #37) with the IRG's a	approval (Registration			
fee will not be charged 7. Proposal Type (Normal P			Normal Pr	oposal		
8. Choose one of the followi		genity Needed)	Normar Fi	oposai		
This is a complete prop				Х		
(or) More information w		d later.		~~~		
B. Technical – General						
1. Number of ideographs in	the proposal:			383		
2. Glyph format of the propo	Yes					
Are all the proposed glyphs put into BMP PUA area?				Yes		
Are data for source references vs. character codes provided?				Yes		
3. Source references:						
Do all the proposed ide body/international cons characters)?	Yes					
4. Evidence:						
least one scanned ima	ige of printed	nave a separate evidence do materials (preferably diction	aries)?			
b. Do all the printed ma by a third party (ISBN		for evidence provide enough .)?	n information to track them	Yes		
5. Attribute Data Format: (Ex	ccel file or CS	SV text)		Excel		

IRGN2424	IRG Principles and Procedures	
2021-04-05	Version 13	
2021 04 00		Page 1 of 2

Understanding of the Unification Principles Yes 1. Has the submitter read ISO/IEC 10646 Annex S and does the submitter understand the unification principles? Yes 2. Has the submitter read the "Unifiable Component Variations" (contact the IRG technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the latest version) and does the submitter understand the unifiable variation examples? Yes 3. Has the submitter read the IRG PnP document and does the submitter understand the 5% Rule? Yes Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itsci.pipsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Yes 4. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the unified or compatibility ideographs of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646? If the checking has been done against an earlier version of ISO/IEC 10646? If yes, which amendment(s) has the submitter checked? N/A 6. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the correct working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list) N/A 7. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the correct working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list) N/A 11 yes, which draft amendment(s) has the submitter checked	C. Technical - Checklist						
unification principles? 2. Has the submitter read the "Unifiable Component Variations" (contact the IRG technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the latest version) and does the submitter understand the unifiable variation examples? Yes 2. Has the submitter read the IRG PnP document and does the submitter understand the 5% Rule? Yes Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itsci.ipsi.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Yes 4. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the unified or compatibility ideographs of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646? 10646:2020 5. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the amendments, if any, of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646? N/A 6. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the current working Meste and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list) IRG N2444 8. Has the submitter checked whether the propo							
through the IRG Convenor for the latest version) and does the submitter understand the unifiable veraition examples? Image: Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Image: Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Image: Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Image: Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Image: Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Image: Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Image: Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Image: Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Image: Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Image: Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Image: Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Image: Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the ournent (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc		Yes					
Rule? Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.jpsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the published ones and those under ballot) Yes 4. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the unified or compatibility ideographs of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646; please specify the version. (e.g. 10646:2012) 10646:2020 5. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the amendments, if any, of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646; please specify the version. (e.g. 10646:2012) N/A 6. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the amendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646? N/A 7. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chiel editor and technical editor through the IRG contact IRG chiel editor and technical editor through the IRG contact IRG chiel editor and technical editor through the IRpoposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the over-unified or mis-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP document)) N/A 8. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>similar ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Yes 11. Do all the proposed ideographs have any <i>variant ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Yes 22. Do the proposed ideographs have attribute data including the Kangxi radical code, stroke count, and first str	through the IRG Convenor for the latest version) and does the submitter understand the	Yes					
published ones and those under ballot) Yes 4. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the unified or compatibility ideographs of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646? 10646:2020 5. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the amendments, if any, of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646? N/A 6. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the arendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646? N/A 7. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed amendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646? N/A 7. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list) N/A 7. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list) If yes, which document(s) has the submitter checked? N/A 8. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the over-unified or mis-unified biographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PNP document) Yes 9. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any similar ideographs in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? </td <td></td> <td>Yes</td>		Yes					
 Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the specify the version. (e.g. 10646:2012) Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the amendments, if any, of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646? Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the amendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646? Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed amendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646? Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed amendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646? Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG convent for the newest list) If yes, which document(s) has the submitter checked? Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the over-unified or mis-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP document) Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any similar ideographs in Yes Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any variant ideographs in Yes Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any variant ideographs in Yes Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any variant ideographs in Yes The current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Are there any simplified ideographs (ideographs that are based on the policy described in fift(E ??#E#) among the proposed ideographs have attribute data including the kangxi radical code, stroke count, and	Character-Glyph Duplication (http://www.itscj.ipsj.or.jp/sc2/open/pow.htm contains all the						
unified or compatibility ideographs of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646; 10646:2020 If the checking has been done against an earlier version of ISO/IEC 10646, please specify the version. (e.g. 10646:2012) 10646:2020 5. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the amendments, if any, of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646? N/A 6. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the proposed amendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646? N/A 7. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list) N/A If yes, which document(s) has the submitter checked? N/A 8. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the over-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP document) Yes 9. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any variant ideographs in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Yes 4ttribute Data Yes Yes 11. Do all the proposed ideographs have any variant ideographs in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Yes 4ttribute Data No Yes 12. Are there any cimplified ideographs (ideographs that are based on the poli							
 specify the version. (e.g. 10646:2012) Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the amendments, if any, of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646? If yes, which amendment(s) has the submitter checked? N/A Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the proposed amendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646? If yes, which draft amendment(s) has the submitter checked? N/A Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list) If yes, which document(s) has the submitter checked? Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the over-unified or mis-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP document) Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>similar ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>variant ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Attribute Data Do all the proposed ideographs have attribute data including the Kangxi radical code, stroke count, and first stroke(primary)? Do the proposed ideographs (ideographs) (ideographs) (ideographs) Are there any simplified ideographs (ideographs) (ideographs) Are there any simplified ideographs (ideographs) in the attribute data? 14. Do all the proposed ideographs (ideographs that are based on the policy described in fift!(?###K) among the proposed ideographs? If no, how many proposed ideographs do not have the IDS? If no, how many proposed ide	unified or compatibility ideographs of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646?	Yes					
ideographs in the amendments, if any, of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646? N/A If yes, which amendment(s) has the submitter checked? N/A 6. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the proposed amendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646? N/A 7. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG convenor for the newest list) N/A 8. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the over-unified or mis-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP document) Yes 9. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>similar ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Yes 10. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>variant ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Yes 11. Do all the proposed ideographs contain secondary radical code and their stroke count and first stroke(primary)? Yes 12. Do ther proposed ideographs (ideographs that are based on the policy described in Mi/L '?###) among the proposed ideographs? Yes 13. Are there any simplified ideographs (ideographs full are based on the policy described in Mi/L '?###) among the proposed ideographs? Yes 14. Do all the proposed ideographs (ideographs ing the attribute data	specify the version. (e.g. 10646:2012)	10646:2020					
 6. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the proposed amendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646? If yes, which draft amendment(s) has the submitter checked? N/A 7. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list) If yes, which document(s) has the submitter checked? IRG N2444 8. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the over-unified or mis-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP document) 9. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>similar ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? 10. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>variant ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Attribute Data 11. Do all the proposed ideographs have attribute data including the Kangxi radical code, stroke count, and first stroke(primary)? 12. Do the proposed ideographs contain secondary radical code and their stroke count and first stroke are also provided? 13. Are there any simplified ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data? 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper simplified/traditional indication flag for each proposed ideographs have the proper ideographs in Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper ledographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? 15. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper ledographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? 15. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper ledographic Descr	ideographs in the amendments, if any, of the latest version of ISO/IEC 10646?						
ideographs in the proposed amendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646? N/A If yes, which draft amendment(s) has the submitter checked? N/A 7. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list) IRG N2444 8. Has the submitter checked? IRG N2444 9. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the over-unified or mis-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP document) Yes 9. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>similar ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Yes 10. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>variant ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Yes 11. Do all the proposed ideographs have attribute data including the Kangxi radical code, stroke count, and first stroke(primary)? Yes 12. Do the proposed ideographs (ideographs that are based on the policy described in fift/L ?#®æ\) among the proposed ideographs? No 13. Are there any eimplified ideographs (ideographs? If yes, does the proposed ideographs? Yes 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper ideographic neach proposed ideographs have the proper ideographic code and their stroke count and first stroke (primary)? No							
7. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list) IRG N2444 8. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the over-unified or mis-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP document) IRG N2444 9. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any similar ideographs in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Yes 10. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any variant ideographs in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Yes 11. Do all the proposed ideographs have attribute data including the Kangxi radical code, stroke count, and first stroke(primary)? No 12. Are there any simplified ideographs (ideographs (ideographs?)) in the attribute data? If yes, does the proposed ideographs? If yes, does the proposed ideographs? If yes, does the proposed ideographs? Yes 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data? Yes 12. Are there any simplified ideographs? If yes, does the proposed ideographs? Yes If yes, does the proposed ideographs? If yes, does the proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data? Yes 13. Do all the	ideographs in the proposed amendments, if any, of ISO/IEC 10646?						
ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list) IRG N2444 8. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are not unifiable with any of the over-unified or mis-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP document) Yes 9. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any similar ideographs in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Yes 10. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any variant ideographs in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Yes Attribute Data Yes 11. Do all the proposed ideographs contain secondary radical code and their stroke count and first stroke(primary)? No 22. Do the proposed ideographs (ideographs (ideographs (ideographs contain secondary radical code and their stroke count and first stroke are also provided? No 13. Are there any simplified ideographs? If yes, does the proposed ideographs? Yes If yes, does the proposed ideographs? If yes, does the proposed ideographs? Yes 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data? Yes 13. Are there any simplified ideographs? If yes, does the proposed ideographs? Yes 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribu							
 8. Has the submitter checked that the proposed ideographs are <i>not unifiable</i> with any of the over-unified or mis-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP document) 9. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>similar ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? 10. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>variant ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Attribute Data 11. Do all the proposed ideographs have attribute data including the Kangxi radical code, stroke count, and first stroke(primary)? 12. Do the proposed ideographs contain secondary radical code and their stroke count and first stroke (primary)? 13. Are there any simplified ideographs (ideographs that are based on the policy described in 簡化 字總表) among the proposed ideographs? If yes, does the proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data? 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? 15. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? 16. If the answer to question 9 or 10 is yes, do the attribute data include any information on similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs? 	ideographs in the current working M-set and D-set of the IRG? (Contact IRG chief editor and technical editor through the IRG Convenor for the newest list)	Yes					
over-unified or mis-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP document)		IRG N2444					
the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? 10. Has the submitter checked whether the proposed ideographs have any <i>variant ideographs</i> in the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Attribute Data 11. Do all the proposed ideographs have attribute data including the Kangxi radical code, stroke count, and first stroke(primary)? 12. Do the proposed ideographs contain secondary radical code and their stroke count and first stroke are also provided? 13. Are there any simplified ideographs (ideographs that are based on the policy described in 簡化 宁總表) among the proposed ideographs? If yes, does the proposed ideographs? If yes, does the proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data? 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? 15. Do all the proposed ideographs do not have the IDS? 16. If the answer to question 9 or 10 is yes, do the attribute data include any information on similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs?	over-unified or mis-unified ideographs in ISO/IEC 10646? (See Annex E of the IRG PnP	Yes					
the current standardized or working sets mentioned above? Attribute Data 11. Do all the proposed ideographs have attribute data including the Kangxi radical code, stroke count, and first stroke(primary)? 12. Do the proposed ideographs contain secondary radical code and their stroke count and first stroke are also provided? 13. Are there any simplified ideographs (ideographs that are based on the policy described in 簡化 字總表) among the proposed ideographs? If yee, does the proposal include proper simplified/traditional indication flag for each proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data? 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? 15. Do all the proposed ideographs do not have the IDS? 16. If the answer to question 9 or 10 is yes, do the attribute data include any information on similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs?		Yes					
11. Do all the proposed ideographs have attribute data including the Kangxi radical code, stroke count, and first stroke(primary)? Yes 12. Do the proposed ideographs contain secondary radical code and their stroke count and first stroke are also provided? No 13. Are there any simplified ideographs (ideographs that are based on the policy described in 簡化 字總表) among the proposed ideographs? No If yes, does the proposal include proper simplified/traditional indication flag for each proposed ideograph in the attribute data? Yes 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data? Yes 15. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? Yes 15. Do all the proposed ideographs do not have the IDS? If no, how many proposed ideographs do not have the IDS? 16. If the answer to question 9 or 10 is yes, do the attribute data include any information on similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs?		Yes					
count, and first stroke(primary)? 12. Do the proposed ideographs contain secondary radical code and their stroke count and first stroke are also provided? No 13. Are there any simplified ideographs (ideographs that are based on the policy described in 簡化 字總表) among the proposed ideographs? No 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data? Yes 15. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? Yes 16. If the answer to question 9 or 10 is yes, do the attribute data include any information on similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs? Yes							
stroke are also provided? 13. Are there any simplified ideographs (ideographs that are based on the policy described in 簡化 字總表) among the proposed ideographs? If yes, does the proposed include proper simplified/traditional indication flag for each proposed ideograph in the attribute data? 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data? 15. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? 15. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? 16. If the answer to question 9 or 10 is yes, do the attribute data include any information on similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs?	count, and first stroke(primary)?	Yes					
字總表) among the proposed ideographs? If yes, does the proposal include proper simplified/traditional indication flag for each proposed ideograph in the attribute data? 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data? Yes 15. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? Yes 15. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? Yes 16. If the answer to question 9 or 10 is yes, do the attribute data include any information on similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs? Yes		No					
proposed ideograph in the attribute data? 14. Do all the proposed ideographs have the document page number of evidence documents in the attribute data? 15. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? 16. If the answer to question 9 or 10 is yes, do the attribute data include any information on similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs?		<u>.</u>					
the attribute data? 15. Do all the proposed ideographs have the proper Ideographic Description Sequence (IDS) in the attribute data? If no, how many proposed ideographs do not have the IDS? 16. If the answer to question 9 or 10 is yes, do the attribute data include any information on similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs?	proposed ideograph in the attribute data?						
the attribute data? If no, how many proposed ideographs do not have the IDS? 16. If the answer to question 9 or 10 is yes, do the attribute data include any information on similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs?		Yes					
16. If the answer to question 9 or 10 is yes, do the attribute data include any information on Similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs?		Yes					
similar/variant ideographs for the proposed ideographs?							
17. Do all the proposed ideographs contain the total stroke count (kTotalStrokes) ¹ ? Yes		Yes					
	17. Do all the proposed ideographs contain the total stroke count (kTotalStrokes) ¹ ?	Yes					

 ¹ The IRG understands that kTotalStrokes can be ambiguous and subject to different interpretations.

 The IRG takes no responsibility to check the correctness of the submitted attribute data.

 IRGN2424
 IRG Principles and Procedures

 2021-04-05
 Version 13
 Page 2

Page 2 of 2